IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N. KRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 17TH AUGUST 2007 / 26TH SRAVANA 1929

CRP. No. 366 of 2003(E)

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 31/01/2003 IN IA. 978/2002 IN OS.41/1999 of SUB COURT, CHERTHALA
.....

REVN. PETITIONER/PETITIONER/DEFENDANT:

MANAGER, ALLAHABAD BANK, M.G. ROAD, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI. M.P. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
SRI. DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS/PLAINTIFFS:
----

1. **YAMUNA INDUSTRIES, CHANDIROOR MURI, AROOR VILLAGE.**

2. **THRESIAMMA, W/O. KELUTHARA JOSEPH, THAIKKATTUSSERY VILLAGE, THEVER VATTOM MURI**

BY ADV. SRI. S. DILEEP for R2.
SRI. FELIX. K.J.

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 17/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

CRP. No. 366/2003(E)

Order on C.M.P. No. 933/2003 in C.R.P. No. 366/2003

Dismissed.

17.08.2007 **Sd/- M.N. KRISHNAN, JUDGE.**

// True Copy //

P.A. to Judge.

Acd

M.N. KRISHNAN, J.

C.R.P.NO. 366 OF 2003

DATED THIS THE 17th DAY OF AUGUST, 2007

ORDER

This revision petition is preferred against the order of the Subordinate Judge, Cherthala in I.A.No.978/02. The revision petitioner moved an application under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure to call for the judgment and decree in O.S.53/1973 from the Subordinate Judge's Court, Alappuzha. The Court found that being a public document and the entitlement of any person to get a copy with the permission of the court dismissed the application. The finding of the Court below is absolutely right and any person can move for a copy of the judgment and decree and if he is not a party to the suit, the only rider is that he must file an application and get permission of the Court, which is normally never refused. Therefore, as observed by the Court below the attempt was only to protract the proceedings. Therefore, the C.R.P. lacks merits and it is dismissed.

M.N. KRISHNAN, JUDGE

Acd